

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016936 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
<b>1</b>	<b>Experience</b>			
<b>1.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's organizational structure, key management, and experience in administering complex projects, grants, or contracts with similar programming with the intended participant population.	30%		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>30%</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>Partnerships and Service Facilitation</b>			
<b>2.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's current and proposed community partnerships, support for the proposed programming and initiatives, and planned efforts to enhance networks and service connections while maintaining partnerships to aid in outreach and recruitment for priority populations and seek Participant referrals.	40%		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>40%</b>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Communication and Outreach Strategies</b>			
<b>3.1</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed Project Work Plan, staffing plan and structure, and strategies to engage the service population, and overall implementation planned to achieve the requirements of this RFA.	15%		
<b>3.2</b>	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed Requested Budgets to achieve the program objectives and proposed activities of this RFA.	15%		
<b>Subtotal</b>		<b>30%</b>		
<b>TOTAL (%)</b>		<b>100%</b>		

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016936		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit H, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0016936		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Experience	30%
2	Partnerships and Service Facilitation	40%
3	Communication and Outreach Strategies	30%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%